From: Kleinman-Green, Ben

Sent: Monday, June 11, 2018 11:37 AM **To:** 'Ari Rafilson'; Dimitri Rizek

Cc:Blake Thompson; Boothe, Norris; Will EllermanSubject:RE: CyWee/Huawei: recapping today's call

Ari, we're still reviewing with the client. But to help keep this moving, below is an outline of a possible new schedule. I've highlighted a few dates that are close to US holidays. There's also a week-long holiday in China we're trying to keep in mind. While we determine IF we want to adjust the schedule, why don't you provide some feedback on the below so that we can get on the same page.

Thanks, Ben

Original Date	Modified Date	Task
February 19, 2019	April 9, 2019	*Jury Selection – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Robert Schroeder
January 30, 2019	March 20, 2019	*Pretrial Conference – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Roy Payne
January 17, 2019	March 7, 2019	*Notify Court of Agreements Reached During Meet and Confer The parties are ordered to meet and confer on any outstanding objections or motions <i>in limine</i> . The parties shall advise the Court of any agreements reached no later than 1:00 p.m. three (3) business days before the pretrial conference.
January 15, 2019	March 5, 2019	*File Joint Pretrial Order, Joint Proposed Jury Instructions, Joint Proposed Verdict Form, Responses to Motions in Limine, Updated Exhibit Lists, Updated Witness Lists, and Updated Deposition Designations
January 8, 2019	February 26, 2019	*File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e-mail the Court Reporter, Shelly Holmes, at shelly_holmes@txed.uscourts.gov .
January 8, 2019	February 26, 2019	File Motions in Limine The parties shall limit their motions in limine to issues that if improperly introduced at trial would be so prejudicial that the Court could not alleviate the prejudice by giving appropriate instructions to the jury.

January 4, 2019	February 22, 2019	Serve Objections to Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
December 21, 2018	February 8, 2019	Serve Objections to Pretrial Disclosures; and Serve Rebuttal Pretrial Disclosures
December 14, 2018	February 1, 2019	Serve Pretrial Disclosures (Witness List, Deposition Designations, and Exhibit List) by the Party with the Burden of Proof
November 13, 2018	December 28, 2018	*File Motions to Strike Expert Testimony (including Daubert Motions)
		No motion to strike expert testimony (including a <i>Daubert</i> motion) may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.
November 13, 2018	December 28, 2018	*File Dispositive Motions
	2018	No dispositive motion may be filed after this date without leave of the Court.
		Motions shall comply with Local Rule CV-56 and Local Rule CV-7. Motions to extend page limits will only be granted in exceptional circumstances. Exceptional circumstances require more than agreement among the parties.
November 2, 2018	December 21	Deadline to Complete Expert Discovery
October 19, 2018	December 7	Serve Disclosures for Rebuttal Expert Witnesses
September 28, 2018	November 16	Serve Disclosures for Expert Witnesses by the Party with the Burden of Proof
September 21, 2018	November 9	Deadline to Complete Fact Discovery and File Motions to Compel Discovery
August 24, 2018	October 12	Deadline to Complete Mediation
		The parties are responsible for ensuring that a mediation report is filed no later than 5 days after the conclusion of mediation.
August 17, 2018	October 5	Comply with P.R. 3-7 (Opinion of Counsel Defenses)
August 10, 2018	September 28	*Claim Construction Hearing – 9:00 a.m. in Marshall, Texas before Judge Roy Payne
July 27, 2018	September 14, 2018	*Comply with P.R. 4-5(d) (Joint Claim Construction Chart)
July 20, 2018	August 31, 2018	*Comply with P.R. 4-5(c) (Reply Claim Construction Brief)

Case 2:17-cv-00495-WCB-RSP Document 94-2 Filed 09/04/18 Page 4 of 18 PageID #: 4229

July 13, 2018	August 3, 2018	Comply with P.R. 4-5(b) (Responsive Claim Construction Brief)
June 29, 2018	July 6, 2018 (4 th of July week,)	Comply with P.R. 4-5(a) (Opening Claim Construction Brief) and Submit Technical Tutorials (if any)
		Good cause must be shown to submit technical tutorials after the deadline to comply with P.R. 4-5(a).
August 3, 2018	September 14, 2018	Deadline to Substantially Complete Document Production and Exchange Privilege Logs
		Counsel are expected to make good faith efforts to produce all required documents as soon as they are available and not wait until the substantial completion deadline.
June 15, 2018	August 10, 2018	Comply with P.R. 4-4 (Deadline to Complete Claim Construction Discovery)
June 8, 2018	June 12, 2018	Comply with P.R. 4-3 (Joint Claim Construction Statement)

Ben Kleinman-Green

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman-green@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

From: Ari Rafilson [mailto:arafilson@ShoreChan.com]

Sent: Monday, June 11, 2018 9:26 AM

To: Kleinman-Green, Ben <BKleinman-Green@kilpatricktownsend.com>; Dimitri Rizek <dimitri@warrenlex.com> **Cc:** Blake Thompson <blake@themannfirm.com>; Boothe, Norris <NBoothe@kilpatricktownsend.com>; Will Ellerman
 <wellerman@ShoreChan.com>

Subject: RE: CyWee/Huawei: recapping today's call

Ben and Dimitri,

Can you provide an update on Huawei's position on the proposed extension today?

Thanks,

Ari

From: Kleinman-Green, Ben < BKleinman-Green@kilpatricktownsend.com >

Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 11:44 PM

To: Ari Rafilson arafilson@ShoreChan.com; Will Ellerman wellerman@ShoreChan.com; Cc: Blake Thompson blake@themannfirm.com; Dimitri Rizek dimitri@warrenlex.com;

nboothe@kilpatricktownsend.com

Subject: RE: CyWee/Huawei: recapping today's call

We can agree to push the 4-3 statement to Tuesday. During the intervening time we will raise the issue of seeking the trial continuance.

Case 2:17-cv-00495-WCB-RSP Document 94-2 Filed 09/04/18 Page 5 of 18 PageID #: 4230

I'm out of pocket most of the day tomorrow, so please include Norris (or the entire distribution list).

Thanks, Ben

Ben Kleinman-Green

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman-green@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

From: Ari Rafilson [mailto:arafilson@ShoreChan.com]

Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 3:53 PM

To: Kleinman-Green, Ben <BKleinman-Green@kilpatricktownsend.com>; Will Ellerman <wellerman@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: Blake Thompson < blake@themannfirm.com > **Subject:** RE: CyWee/Huawei: recapping today's call

Ben, Please see my corrections below in blue.

Thanks,

Ari

From: Kleinman-Green, Ben < BKleinman-Green@kilpatricktownsend.com>

Sent: Thursday, June 7, 2018 5:25 PM

To: Ari Rafilson <a rafilson@ShoreChan.com>; Will Ellerman <wellerman@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: Blake Thompson < <u>blake@themannfirm.com</u>> **Subject:** CyWee/Huawei: recapping today's call

Ari, Will,

I want to make sure we understand what you offered. Does this capture it?

• With 4-3 (which you suggested could be continued to next week), each side provides descriptions of expert testimony consistent with the examples Dimitri sent earlier

Correct

Briefing and claim construction hearing schedule remains unchanged

This is incorrect. My proposal is that we contact the Court to see if the Court is amenable to reset the trial date to approximately 4-6 weeks from the current date. If the Court agrees, the parties would submit a joint proposed schedule. We recently did this in the Samsung case. The trial in our case will be moved anyway since CyWee v. Samsung is set for the same date before the same Judge. If the Court is amenable to moving the trial date, we can finalize dates for an amended proposed schedule, but the schedule would be changed so that (1) your responsive brief would be due approximately three weeks after our opening brief, and (2) our reply brief would be due approximately three weeks after your responsive brief. Other dates would move as well.

- We each have a three week window after the other side's opening briefing (and declaration) to do the depositions
- o That means that we each might not be able to do relevant depositions until after briefing is complete This is incorrect. Our proposal is that you can depose our expert anytime he is available after we submit his opening declaration. We can depose your expert anytime he is available after you submit his declaration with your responsive brief. Both parties would work together to ensure that their expert is available at a mutually agreeable time during the three week window though.
 - Rebuttal/responsive/amended declarations can be submitted with any reply briefs

Correct.

• At the hearing, deposition testimony can be cited, even if not briefed

Correct.



Ben Kleinman-Green

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman-green@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

Confidentiality Notice:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This transmission, and any attachments, may contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. Please contact us immediately by return e-mail or at 404 815 6500, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

DISCLAIMER Per Treasury Department Circular 230: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: Ari Rafilson <arafilson@ShoreChan.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 9:07 AM
To: Erika Warren; TeamCyweeHuawei

Cc: HuaweiCyWee; Mark Mann; Blake Thompson; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee Group Ltd. v. Huawei Device Co. Ltd. et al., No. 17-495 (E.D. Texas)

Erika, We have a reporter that will be here in about 30 minutes. We can discuss timing in the event there is an issue.

From: Erika Warren <erika@warrenlex.com> Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 11:03 AM

To: TeamCyweeHuawei

Cc: huaweicywee; Mark Mann; Blake Thompson; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com **Subject:** CyWee Group Ltd. v. Huawei Device Co. Ltd. et al., No. 17-495 (E.D. Texas)

Ari:

Thank you for letting me know. As you had previously discussed with Angela of our office, your firm failed to secure a court reporter to take the deposition of Professor Welch. At your request, we have contacted a local court reporting agency to see if they are able to send a reporter for CyWee; we'll let you know as soon as we hear back.

As you know, the witness got up very early and presented himself for deposition at 7:45 a.m. this morning to accommodate your requested start time of 8:00 a.m. Notwithstanding your firm's error in communication with your reporter, we trust you will agree that Professor Welch's deposition cannot reasonably go beyond 5:00 p.m. today. In the unlikely event that you disagree, please let me know immediately.

Thank you, Erika

--

Erika Warren erika@warrenlex.com +1 (415) 895-2927

Case 2:17-cv-00495-WCB-RSP Document 94-2 Filed 09/04/18 Page 10 of 18 PageID #: 4235

Kleinman, Ben

From: Michael Shore <mshore@ShoreChan.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 9:12 AM **To:** Erika Warren; TeamCyweeHuawei

Cc: HuaweiCyWee; Mark Mann; Blake Thompson; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee Group Ltd. v. Huawei Device Co. Ltd. et al., No. 17-495 (E.D. Texas)

Erika,

CyWee will take Professor Welch's deposition for the 7 hours allowed by the rules, if necessary. A mistake in scheduling of a reporter is not a "Get Out of Deposition Free" card. If the witness cannot stay for the full length of the deposition, he can be examined until he must leave. If that is too early for a full examination, then we can reconvene the deposition tomorrow morning or via telephone. If Huawei ever had such a need, CyWee and its counsel would understand and accommodate, not try to take unfair advantage as you are here.

MWS

From: Erika Warren <erika@warrenlex.com> Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 11:04 AM

To: TeamCyweeHuawei < TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: huaweicywee <huaweicywee@kilpatricktownsend.com>; Mark Mann <mark@themannfirm.com>; Blake Thompson

<blake@themannfirm.com>; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: CyWee Group Ltd. v. Huawei Device Co. Ltd. et al., No. 17-495 (E.D. Texas)

Ari:

Thank you for letting me know. As you had previously discussed with Angela of our office, your firm failed to secure a court reporter to take the deposition of Professor Welch. At your request, we have contacted a local court reporting agency to see if they are able to send a reporter for CyWee; we'll let you know as soon as we hear back.

As you know, the witness got up very early and presented himself for deposition at 7:45 a.m. this morning to accommodate your requested start time of 8:00 a.m. Notwithstanding your firm's error in communication with your reporter, we trust you will agree that Professor Welch's deposition cannot reasonably go beyond 5:00 p.m. today. In the unlikely event that you disagree, please let me know immediately.

Thank you, Erika

--

Erika Warren erika@warrenlex.com +1 (415) 895-2927

From: Michael Shore <mshore@ShoreChan.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2018 11:15 AM

To: Ari Rafilson; Kleinman, Ben

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei; HuaweiCyWee; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

We are not producing Dr. LaViola repeatedly just so every Defendant can ask the same questions. Its abusive of him and CyWee. If they have different questions to ask, they can provide them in writing.

From: Ari Rafilson

Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2018 1:14 PM

To: Kleinman, Ben < BKleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com>

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei <TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com>; huaweicywee <huaweicywee@kilpatricktownsend.com>; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Ben, We don't have dates yet, and hope to propose dates next week. In the meantime please confirm that Dr. Welch's deposition can begin at 8 am as requested in the attached emails and confirm the address for the deposition.

Best Regards,

Ari

From: Kleinman, Ben < BKleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2018 1:08 PM **To:** Ari Rafilson arafilson@ShoreChan.com

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei < TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com; huaweicywee huaweicywee@kilpatricktownsend.com; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Ari, following up. Please reply.

Thanks, Ben

Ben Kleinman

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

From: Kleinman, Ben

Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:49 AM **To:** 'Ari Rafilson' <a rafilson@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei < <u>TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com</u>>; HuaweiCyWee < <u>HuaweiCyWee@kilpatricktownsend.com</u>>; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Case 2:17-cv-00495-WCB-RSP Document 94-2 Filed 09/04/18 Page 13 of 18 PageID #: 4238

Ari,

Please let us know when and where you will make Dr. LaViola available for deposition the weeks of September 10 and 17.

Thanks, Ben



Ben Kleinman

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

Confidentiality Notice:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This transmission, and any attachments, may contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. Please contact us immediately by return e-mail or at 404 815 6500, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

^{***}DISCLAIMER*** Per Treasury Department Circular 230: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: Michael Shore <mshore@ShoreChan.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 8:59 AM

To: Kleinman, Ben; Ari Rafilson

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei; HuaweiCyWee; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Ben,

We will seek a protective order, then. Please provide a day and time to conference formally on the issue per the Local Rules.

Michael

From: Kleinman, Ben < BKleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 10:34 AM

To: Michael Shore <mshore@ShoreChan.com>; Ari Rafilson <arafilson@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei <TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com>; huaweicywee <huaweicywee@kilpatricktownsend.com>; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Michael,

We do not agree to limit the deposition as you propose. We will depose Dr. LaViola, as noticed, on any topics relevant to the construction of the claims at issue in this case.

Ben

Ben Kleinman

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

From: Michael Shore <mshore@ShoreChan.com>

Sent: Friday, August 24, 2018 9:31 AM

To: Kleinman, Ben < BKleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com>; Ari Rafilson < arafilson@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei < <u>TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com</u>>; HuaweiCyWee < <u>HuaweiCyWee@kilpatricktownsend.com</u>>; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Ben,

We are not opposed to providing a limited deposition of Dr. LaViola that covers things not included in any prior depositions. If you will agree to limit he deposition to ONLY the content of the declarations filed in the Huawei case, we will make him available. If you will not so agree, we will need to go to the Court and get some guidance. I am sure you understand that we think having Dr. LaViola deposed 8 times on claims construction is absurd. We will of course make him available to be fully deposed on any opinions related to infringement by the Huawei products at the appropriate

Case 2:17-cv-00495-WCB-RSP Document 94-2 Filed 09/04/18 Page 16 of 18 PageID #: 4241

time after expert reports on infringement or on Huawei's affirmative defenses. But to say he can be deposed generally on Markman is not reasonable.

Regards,

Michael

From: Kleinman, Ben < BKleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com>

Sent: Friday, August 24, 2018 11:27 AM

To: Michael Shore <mshore@ShoreChan.com>; Ari Rafilson <arafilson@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei < TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com; huaweicywee huaweicywee@kilpatricktownsend.com; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Michael,

In light of, inter alia, the stipulation we reached and that the Court relied upon in entering the amended docket control order (see Dkt. No. 70: "If Plaintiff submits an expert declaration or declarations, Plaintiff shall make Dr. Joseph LaViola available for a deposition at a mutually agreed time at least one week before the deadline for Defendants to file their Sur-Reply Claim Construction Brief") we are serving a notice of deposition for Dr. LaViola. An essential purpose of the stipulation, as documented in the correspondence culminating in the stipulation and in the first "whereas" clause of Dkt. No. 70, was to ensure that there was adequate time to take in-person depositions of each other's experts.

Unless you receive a signed protective order stating that we are not permitted to depose Dr. LaViola orally, we will take the deposition as noticed.

Ben

Ben Kleinman

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

From: Michael Shore < mshore@ShoreChan.com >

Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2018 11:14 AM

To: Kleinman, Ben <BKleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com>; Ari Rafilson <arafilson@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei < <u>TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com</u>>; HuaweiCyWee < HuaweiCyWee@kilpatricktownsend.com>; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Ben,

Dr. LaViola will not be deposed again. He has been deposed repeatedly already and we are willing to provide those deposition transcripts. If Huawei has different questions to ask him than those asked by Samsung, CyWee will agree to have him answer them in the form of a deposition on written questions.

If we need to move for a protective order, please let us know. But we are not going to potentially present Dr, LaViola for up to 16 depositions of the same questions on the same issues. It is unduly burdensome and not supportable by any particular need of any Defendant.

Michael

Case 2:17-cv-00495-WCB-RSP Document 94-2 Filed 09/04/18 Page 17 of 18 PageID #:

From: Kleinman, Ben < BKleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 23, 2018 1:08 PM **To:** Ari Rafilson <a rafilson@ShoreChan.com>

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei < <u>TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com</u>>; huaweicywee < huaweicywee@kilpatricktownsend.com>; 17-495@cases.warrenlex.com

Subject: RE: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Ari, following up. Please reply.

Thanks, Ben

Ben Kleinman

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

From: Kleinman, Ben

Sent: Monday, August 20, 2018 8:49 AM **To:** 'Ari Rafilson' arafilson@ShoreChan.com

Cc: TeamCyweeHuawei < <u>TeamCyweeHuawei@ShoreChan.com</u>>; HuaweiCyWee < <u>HuaweiCyWee@kilpatricktownsend.com</u>>; <u>17-495@cases.warrenlex.com</u>

Subject: CyWee v. Huawei: LaViola deposition

Ari,

Please let us know when and where you will make Dr. LaViola available for deposition the weeks of September 10 and 17.

Thanks, Ben



Ben Kleinman

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

Two Embarcadero Center | Suite 1900 | San Francisco, CA 94111 office 415 273 7568 | cell 415 894 5518 | fax 415 723 7122 bkleinman@kilpatricktownsend.com | My Profile | vCard

Confidentiality Notice:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This transmission, and any attachments, may contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this transmission is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. Please contact us immediately by return e-mail or at 404 815 6500, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading or saving in any manner.

^{***}DISCLAIMER*** Per Treasury Department Circular 230: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not

intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.